

1 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
2 Seth P. Waxman
3 Randolph D. Moss
4 Todd Zubler
5 Brian M. Boynton #222193
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1420

6 BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN
Robert D. Wilkinson #100478
7 Joshua S. Daniels #222928
5260 North Palm Avenue, Suite 421
Fresno, California 93704-2209

8 Counsel for Defendant THE CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COMMISSION

9
10 LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN C. LEIGHTON
Brian C. Leighton #090907
11 701 Pollasky Avenue
Clovis, CA 93612

12 Counsel for Plaintiffs DELANO FARMS COMPANY, THE SUSAN NEILL COMPANY, and
13 LUCAS BROS. PARTNERSHIP

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 DELANO FARMS COMPANY,)
17 a Washington corporation,) Case No. CV-F-96-6053 OWW DLB
18 THE SUSAN NEILL COMPANY,)
19 a California corporation,) STIPULATED REVISED SCHEDULE
20 LUCAS BROS. PARTNERSHIP,) AND PROPOSED ORDER
21 a California Partnership,)
22 Plaintiffs,)
23 v.)
24 THE CALIFORNIA TABLE)
GRAPE COMMISSION,)
Defendant.)

)

25 WHEREAS on June 22, 2005, the parties to this action submitted a joint scheduling
26 proposal;
27
28

1 WHEREAS counsel for Plaintiffs sought an extension of the August 22, 2005 deadline for
2 supplementation of discovery responses in light of his participation in a trial in another case and
3 counsel for Defendant agreed to a two week extension of that deadline; and

4 WHEREAS the parties have agreed to the following revised schedule;

5 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties to this action, through their respective
6 attorneys of record, that the following revised schedule be adopted by the Court:

Event	Original Date	Revised Date
Supplementation of Written Discovery Responses in Light of Intervening Events or Decisions	August 22, 2005	September 6, 2005
Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	September 9, 2005	September 23, 2005
Supplemental Expert Disclosures	September 23, 2005	October 7, 2005
Close of All Discovery	October 14, 2005	October 28, 2005
Parties To Exchange Proposed Statements of Undisputed Fact and To Confer re the Proposed Statements	October 28, 2005	November 23, 2005
Proposed Statements of Undisputed Fact Filed with the Court	November 11, 2005	December 6, 2005
Motions for Summary Judgment Filed	December 19, 2005	January 13, 2006
Oppositions to Motions for Summary Judgment	January 23, 2006	February 10, 2006
Replies to Motions for Summary Judgment	February 6, 2006	February 24, 2006

23 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the parties address the following issues, if necessary,
24 only after the Court has ruled on any summary judgment motion or motions filed by the parties: (1)
25 the schedule for addressing the affirmative defenses raised by the Commission in its Amended
26 Answers to the Second Amended Complaints; and (2) the schedule for pre-trial motions and a trial,
27 including issues such as whether the trial should be bifurcated in any way.

1 Dated: August 26, 2005

2 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
3 HALE AND DORR LLP
4 Seth P. Waxman
Randolph D. Moss
Todd Zubler
Brian M. Boynton

5 BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN
6 Robert D. Wilkinson
Joshua S. Daniels

7 /S/ Brian M. Boynton

8 By _____
9 Brian M. Boynton

10 Counsel for Defendant THE CALIFORNIA TABLE
11 GRAPE COMMISSION

12 Dated: August 26, 2005

13 LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN C. LEIGHTON

14 /S/ Brian C. Leighton (as authorized 8-26-05)

15 By _____
16 Brian C. Leighton

17 Counsel for Plaintiffs DELANO FARMS COMPANY,
18 THE SUSAN NEILL COMPANY, and LUCAS
19 BROS. PARTNERSHIP

20 **ORDER**

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER

23 _____
24 Oliver W. Wanger
25 United States District Judge

26 Dated: __August 31, 2005